

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/430,644	10/29/1999	ROBERT NORMAN HURST	SAR-13543	7580
28166	7590 05/30/2003		•	
•	ATTERSON & SHERII	EXAMINER		
595 SHREW	CORPORATION SBURY AVENUE		AN, SHA	AWN S
SUITE 100 SHREWSBURY, NJ 07702			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2613	

DATE MAILED: 05/30/2003

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.



Application No.

Applicant(s

09/430,644

Robert Norman Hurst

2613

	MIK I	ши		, uu	tt III
	1101J B	BUDE EI			1 H
	11 E I I I	84B) (1			
					HD III
 	// III	### ##	Het it		. 1
		iii i		ii I	H III

Office Action Summary

ù,

Examiner Shawn An

n the cover short with the correspondence address

	The MAILING DATE Of this communication appear	s on the cover sheet with the correspondence address				
A SH THE - Exte af - If the be - If NC co - Failu - Any	ter SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this commune period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) day a considered timely. Deriod for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory ommunication. The reply within the set or extended period for reply will, the set or reply will, the set or extended period for reply will the set or extended period for e	CFR 1.136 (a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed				
Status 1) 💢	Responsive to communication(s) filed on Jun 4, 2	001 .				
2a) 💢		ction is non-final.				
3) 🗆	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11; 453 O.G. 213.					
Disposi	tion of Claims					
4) 💢	Claim(s) <u>1-24</u>	is/are pending in the application.				
4	4a) Of the above, claim(s)	is/are withdrawn from consideration.				
5) 🗆	Claim(s)	is/are allowed.				
6) 💢	Claim(s) 1-4, 6-12, 14-20, and 22-24					
7) 💢		is/are objected to.				
8) 🗆		are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.				
	tion Papers					
9) 🗌	The specification is objected to by the Examiner.					
10)	The drawing(s) filed on is/are	e objected to by the Examiner.				
		is: a) \square approved b) \square disapproved.				
12)	The oath or declaration is objected to by the Exam	niner.				
Priority	under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
	Acknowledgement is made of a claim for foreign p	priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d).				
	☐ All b)☐ Some* c)☐ None of:					
	1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.					
	2. U Certified copies of the priority documents have					
	3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority of application from the International Burese the attached detailed Office action for a list of the attached detailed Office action for a list of the action for action for a list of the actio					
-	Acknowledgement is made of a claim for domestic					
Attachme						
	rtice of References Cited (PTO-892)	18) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s).				
_	tice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	19) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)				
7) 🔲 Inf	ormation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s).	20} Other:				



Art Unit: 2613

DETAILED ACTION

Response to Reconsideration

1. Applicant's remarks filed 6/9/01 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive. The Applicants present an argument of which Wee et al's reference neither teaches nor discloses a method and an apparatus for processing a transport stream such that a <u>splice point adapter</u> is generated as recited in claims 1, 9, and 17. After careful scrutiny of Wee et al's reference, the Examiner must respectively disagree, and maintain the grounds of rejection.

The Applicant admits that "if the first frame is not an I frame, then some processing must occur to insure that the first frame is an I frame" (page 3, lines 11-12). The Examiner interprets the some processing occurring as generating a splice point adapter such that a subsequent splicing operation may be effected in a substantially seamless manner. Therefore, contrary to the Applicant's argument, when broadly interpreting a splice point adapter, clearly, Wee et al's reference teaches the processing of a transport stream prior to the splicing of this transport stream such that a subsequent splicing operation may be effected in a substantially seamless manner.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

2. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless --

- (e) the invention was described in a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent, or on an international application by another who has fulfilled the requirements of paragraphs (1), (2), and (4) of section 371© of this title before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent.
- 3. Claims 1-2, 6, 9-10, 14, 17-18, and 22 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Wee et al as was previously set forth in the last Office action as Paper 5.

Art Unit: 2613

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 4. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 5. Claims 3-4, 7-8, 11-12, 15-16, 19-20, and 23-24 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Wee et al in view of Chen et al as was previously set forth in the last Office action as Paper 5.

Allowable Subject Matter

6. Claims 5, 13, and 21 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claims 1, 9, and 17, respectively, but would be allowable: if claim 5 is rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim 1 and any intervening claims; if claim 13 is rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim 9 and any intervening claims; and if claim 21 is rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim 17 and any intervening claims. Accordingly, if the amendments are made to the claims listed above, and if rejected claims are canceled, the application would be placed in condition for allowance.

Art Unit: 2613

Conclusion

7. THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

8. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Shawn An whose telephone number is (703) 305-0099.

SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2600

SA

SSA

August 1, 2001